

51 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6188

(212) 975-8730 john.bagwell@cbs.com

JOHN W. BAGWELL SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL

# BY EMAIL (cela@fec.gov) AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Jeff S. Jordan, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
Office of Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
Attention: Kathryn Ross, Paralegal
1050 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20463

Re: MUR 7412

Dear Mr. Jordan: August 1, 2018

On behalf of CBS Broadcasting Inc. ("CBS"), owner and operator of broadcast television station KDKA-TV, Pittsburgh, PA, I am responding to your letter dated June 15, 2018 regarding a complaint filed, in part against KDKA-TV, by the Libertarian National Committee (the "Complaint"). CBS was granted an extension of time, through August 3, 2018, to respond to the Complaint by letter dated June 26, 2018 from Kathryn Ross, Paralegal, to John Bagwell.

The Complaint alleges, in part, that KDKA-TV violated the Federal Election Campaign Act ("FECA" or the "Act"), which prohibits corporations from making contributions or expenditures in connection with any election, by failing to include Drew Gary Miller, the Libertarian Party's nominee ("Miller"), in a debate it conducted on February 19, 2018 for certain candidates in a special election for the U.S. House of Representatives in Pennsylvania's Congressional District 18 (the "Debate"). See Complaint at 13.

There are two exemptions to the above-referenced prohibition pursuant to which KDKA-TV's exclusion of Miller from the February 2018 debate was permitted and thus not a violation of the Act, specifically, (i) the Act's "press exemption" and (ii) an exemption provided by the Federal Election Commission's (the "Commission") debate regulation.

As discussed further herein, in conformance with both of these exceptions, KDKA-TV invited those candidates with a viable chance of winning the special election to participate in the Debate based on pre-established, objective criteria in order to devote the

GENERAL COUNSE

maximum amount of time to viable candidates for the benefit of viewers. Accordingly, the allegations in the Complaint fail to state a violation of the Act. As a result, the Complaint should be closed and dismissed without further action.

# The Debate Was Exempt From Regulation Under the Press Exemption.

In establishing the "press exemption," the Act expressly excludes, from the definition of a prohibited "expenditure," "any news story ... distributed through the facilities of any broadcasting station ... unless such facilities are owned or controlled by any political party, political committee or candidate." 52 U.S.C.S. § 30101(9)(ii)(B)(i).

The applicability of the press exemption is determined through a two-step analysis that examines (i) whether the press entity in question is owned by a political party, political committee or candidate and (ii) whether the entity was acting as a press entity in undertaking the alleged violation. See MUR 6703 (WCVB-TV) Statement of Reasons of Vice Chairman Lee E. Goodman, Commissioners Caroline C. Hunter and Matthew S. Petersen, at 5.

This analysis is reflected in the regulations promulgated by the Commission incorporating the press exemption and related analysis into its rules. These regulations provide that any cost incurred in covering a news story by any broadcast station is neither a prohibited "contribution" nor an "expenditure" unless the facility is "owned or controlled by any political party, political committee or candidate," in which case the cost for a news story is exempt if the story "represent[s] a bona fide news account communicated ... on a licensed broadcast facility." See 11 C.F.R. § 100.73(a) (relating to exempt contributions) and 11 C.F.R. § 100.132(a) (relating to exempt expenditures).

As a predicate matter, KDKA-TV is a broadcast television station owned and operated by CBS Broadcasting Inc., an indirect subsidiary of CBS Corporation and is not owned by a political party, political committee or candidate. See CBS Corporation 10-K, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 20, 2018, at I-2. Thus, KDKA-TV's conduct of the Debate satisfies the first criterion of the press exemption.

The Commission has previously applied the press exemption to media-sponsored candidate debates like the Debate, recognizing that "a joint appearance or debate format is a well-established, traditional news format that is utilized by press entities everywhere to compare and contrast competing candidates." MUR 6703 (WCVB-TV) Statement of Reasons of Vice Chairman Lee E. Goodman, Commissioners Caroline C. Hunter and Matthew S. Petersen, at 7. This is based on the Commission's conclusion that "press entities engage in a legitimate press function when they sponsor and broadcast debates." MUR 6952 (Fox News Network, LLC), Statement of Reasons of Chairman Matthews S. Petersen and Commissioners Caroline C. Hunter and Lee E. Goodman, at 8. Thus, KDKA-TV's conduct of the Debate also satisfies the second criterion of the press exemption.

As demonstrated above, the Debate qualifies for the press exemption and thus the Complaint should be dismissed.

# The Debate Was Exempt Under the Commission's Debate Regulations.

KDKA-TV's conduct of the Debate is exempt not only pursuant to the Commission's press exemption, but is also exempt under the Commission's broader debate regulations. Under those rules, costs incurred in staging a candidate debate pursuant to sections 110.13 and 114.4(f) of the Commission's regulations are not deemed to be "contributions". See 11 C.F.R. § 100.92.

Sections 110.13 and 114.4(f) of the Commission's regulations provide, in relevant part, that a broadcaster not owned or controlled by a political party, political committee or candidate may conduct and structure a debate in its own discretion so long as: (i) the debate includes at least two candidates, (ii) the debate is not structured to promote or advance one candidate over another, and (iii) the station uses pre-established, objective criteria to determine the candidates.

In this instance, the Debate, which included two competing candidates, was organized by KDKA-TV's award-winning News Department<sup>1</sup> through the exercise of its good faith journalistic and editorial discretion for the benefit of viewers in Pennsylvania's 18th Congressional District – with no consideration given to promoting or advancing one candidate over another. Instead, KDKA-TV's news department invited candidates to participate in the Debate based on several pre-established, objective criteria that it had relied on in conducting previous debates – including independent polling in the race and a comparison of news coverage of the relevant candidates. These objective criteria are consistent with the types of criteria that the Commission has previously found to be acceptable. See MUR 6952 (Fox News Network, LLC), Statement of Reasons of Chairman Matthews S. Petersen and Commissioners Caroline C. Hunter and Lee E. Goodman, at 11 (confirming criteria such as professional independent polling and comparisons of levels of news coverage are acceptable in the selection of debate participants).

KDKA relied upon the results of a pre-Debate poll released by Monmouth University on February 15, 2018, which found a three-percent gap between the two candidates that were invited to the debate (each garnering more than 45% of the respondents) with only one percent of respondents supporting a third party candidate such as Miller. See Exhibit I; Monmouth University Poll Press Release. The failure of Miller to poll above one percent clearly evidences the validity of KDKA-TV's decision not to include him in the Debate as a non-viable candidate at the time the Debate was conducted.<sup>2</sup>

Indeed, as the Complaint itself notes, KDKA-TV's News Director confirmed to Miller that his poor showing in this Monmouth University poll led to his not being included in the Debate, whose participants included candidates that had established their viability in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the last three years alone, the station has been awarded dozens of local, regional and national awards, including two Edward R. Murrow Awards (RTNDA), ten Mid-Atlantic Emmy Awards (NATAS), thirty-two Associated Press awards (PAPBA), six Pennsylvania Association of Broadcasters Awards, and sixteen Golden Quill Awards (Press Club of WPA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Miller remained a non-viable candidate through the election, ultimately receiving less than one percent of the total votes on March 13, 2018. *See* https://www.electionreturns.pa.gov/Special/OfficeResults?ElectionID= 62&ElectionType=S&IsActive=0.

recognized polls. See Complaint, at 5. Despite Commission precedent, the Complaint argues that reliance on such third party polling would violate the Act and that the "appropriate remedy" would have been for KDKA-TV to invite candidates who failed to meet the station's objective debate criteria. This argument cannot prevail.

In addition to the third party polling, KDKA-TV took into account the varied levels of campaign activity of each candidate in determining the Debate's invitees. Specifically, KDKA-TV regularly received press releases and other campaign outreach from the two candidates who were ultimately invited to participate in the Debate. In stark contrast, KDKA-TV did not receive any outreach from Miller regarding either the announcement of his candidacy or any subsequent campaign activities. See Exhibit II; Statement of KDKA-TV News Director.

Indeed, in a post-election Tweet, Miller verified his lack of campaign efforts and the appropriateness of his exclusion from the Debate, characterizing himself as a "paper candidate" who did not dedicate the "time, energy & resources" needed to win.



## Drew Gray Miller @DrewGrayMiller · Mar 21

After much consideration, I have decided to no longer run for public office. This year I would be unable to dedicate the time, energy & resources necessary to do so - and the @LPNational deserves better than a paper candidate. Thank you for your support!

Q 5 t3 4 Ø 21

The comparative levels of press coverage of the candidates were also taken into account in KDKA-TV's conduct of the Debate. *Id.* As a matter of routine course, the KDKA-TV News Department monitors the news coverage of its competitors, including other broadcast stations in the Pittsburgh television market as well as major newspapers. *Id.* During the period between when KDKA-TV first decided to host the Debate in November 2017 through the Debate, the two candidates who were invited to participate in the Debate received frequent news coverage from various outlets related to their campaign activity while Miller received minimal, if any, news coverage. *Id.* 

As shown above, KDKA-TV and its News staff appropriately relied on preestablished, objective criteria in selecting the candidates to participate in the Debate.

For the reasons stated above, CBS hereby respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss the Complaint, as it relates to KDKA-TV and the Debate, as without merit.

Sincerely,

Designated Counsel

# Exhibit I



Please attribute this information to:

# Monmouth University Poll

West Long Branch, NJ 07764

www.monmouth.edu/polling

Follow on Twitter: @MonmouthPoll

Released: Thursday, February 15, 2018

Contact:
PATRICK MURRAY
732-979-6769 (cell); 732-263-5858 (office)
pdmurray@monmouth.edu
Follow on Twitter: @PollsterPatrick

# PENNSYLVANIA: SMALL LEAD FOR SACCONE IN CD18

Opinion on Trump helps Dem candidate remain in the hunt

West Long Branch, NJ – Republican Rick Saccone has a small advantage in the special election for Pennsylvania's 18th Congressional District, even when the potential for a Democratic surge is taken into account. However, the Monmouth University Poll finds that a partisan gap in enthusiasm around President Donald Trump has helped Democrat Conor Lamb stay within striking distance.

Saccone holds a 49% to 46% edge over Democrat Conor Lamb in the race to fill the open House seat on March 13, using a turnout model similar to voting patterns seen in other special elections over the past year. Another 1% opt for a third party candidate and 4% are undecided. A historical turnout model, based on lower turnout than the 2014 midterm, gives Saccone a larger 50% to 45% lead. A model with higher turnout overall, similar to a presidential electorate, gives Saccone a 48% to 44% advantage. It is worth noting that all of these leads are within the poll's margin of error for each model.

"Saccone has a slight edge, but it's nowhere near the double digit advantage Republicans typically enjoy in this district. The potential for a Democratic surge like we have seen in other special elections helps Lamb stay in the hunt but it does not close the gap entirely," said Patrick Murray, director of the independent Monmouth University Polling Institute, Monmouth used a similar multi-model approach in polling December's special election for U.S. Senate in Alabama. That poll found a much wider 7 point swing between the low and high turnout models for that race.

The key difference between Monmouth's Democratic "surge" model and the standard low turnout model in PA18 is an increase in the district wide vote share coming from historically Democratic-leaning and competitive precincts. Most of these precincts are located in Allegheny County with some in neighboring Washington County. These precincts typically make up about 19% of PA18's electorate in any given election. The surge adjustment increases that share to 23%.

Democratic voters are generally more engaged in this race than are Republicans. Among likely voters, 48% of Democrats compared with 26% of Republicans say they are following the PA18 special election closely. Among all potential voters interviewed for the poll – including those unlikely to vote in this contest – Democrats (65%) are more likely than Republicans (50%) to say they have a lot of interest in this race. If all potential voters were likely to cast a ballot next month, Sacconc would hold an even larger lead of 48% to 41% over Lamb in the current poll.

"The fact that Saccone does better among all potential voters than he does in any of the probable turnout models is a clear sign of higher enthusiasm among Democrats. However, this district's strong Republican bent may simply be too high a hurdle for Lamb to overcome," said Murray.

## Other poll results \*

Conor Lamb earns a slightly better net voter rating at 49% favorable and 31% unfavorable than Rick Saccone does at 48% favorable and 39% unfavorable. The district's electorate is divided over whether they prefer having the Republicans (43%) or the Democrats (40%) in control of Congress. Likely voters in PA18 give similar overall ratings to the Republican Party (41% favorable and 52% unfavorable) and Democratic Party (44% favorable and 51% unfavorable).

President Donald Trump earns a nominally positive job rating from likely voters in this district – 51% approve and 47% disapprove. Trump beat Hillary Clinton by 20 points in this district in 2016. Voters are divided on whether they support (48%) or oppose (47%) how Trump is handling most issues. Two-thirds (68%) of likely voters say it is very important for them to cast a ballot that shows how they feel about Trump. However, among all potential voters interviewed – including those determined to be unlikely to vote – opponents of the president (67%) are more likely than supporters (50%) to see this election as a very important opportunity to send a message about the Trump presidency.

Nearly 6-in-10 voters (58%) are aware that Trump has endorsed Saccone, the Republican nominee. Most (86%) say this endorsement has not changed their candidate choice, with 5% saying it makes them more likely to support Saccone and 8% saying it makes them less likely.

"The impact of Donald Trump on this race is less about impacting the candidate choice and more about generating voter interest that drives turnout. These results find that the president's detractors are more ginned up than his supporters, which is helping to keep this race tight," said Murray.

On the Democratic side, Lamb has promised not to support Nancy Pelosi for another term as his party's leader in the House of Representatives. This has had little impact on most voters in PA18 – just 40% have heard about his pledge – but among those who would take this promise into account, more say it increases (18%) rather than decreases (7%) their likelihood of supporting Lamb. Another 72% say the Democrat's pledge has no impact on their vote. However, among likely voters who are undecided or say

that they could change their mind before next month's election, a majority (52%) say that Lamb's declaration about Pelosi makes them more likely to support him.

"Lamb's effort to distance himself from Pelosi is probably a smart calculation in this Republican district. Even if a Democratic surge materializes in the Pittsburgh suburbs, he still needs to win over moderate voters in more conservative areas. Lamb is having some success with that voting bloc, but he may have already maxed out that support," said Murray.

According to the poll, 64% of self-described political moderates currently support Lamb and 28% support Saccone. Other demographic breakouts show that Lamb has a slight edge with voters age 65 and over (50% to 46%) while Saccone leads among voters age 50 to 64 (48% to 45%) and age 18 to 49 (52% to 44%). Men prefer Saccone (51% to 42%) while women are divided (48% Lamb to 47% Saccone). Lamb has a sizable lead in the Allegheny County portion of the district (54% to 40%) while Saccone has an even larger advantage in Westmoreland County (63% to 32%). The remainder of the district in Washington and Greene counties is split (48% Saccone to 47% Lamb).

The Monmouth University Poll was conducted by telephone from February 12 to 14, 2018 with 320 Pennsylvania residents likely to vote in Pennsylvania's 18<sup>th</sup> Congressional District special election next month. The results in this release have a margin of error of +/- 5.5 percent. The poll was conducted by the Monmouth University Polling Institute in West Long Branch, NJ.

## **QUESTIONS AND RESULTS**

(\* Some columns may not add to 100% due to rounding,)

1/2. If the election for U.S. Congress in your district was today, would you vote for ... Rick Saccone the Republican or Conor Lamb the Democrat, or some other candidate? [IF UNDECIDED: If you had to vote for one of the following at this moment, do you lean more toward Rick Saccone or more toward Conor Lamb?] [NAMES WERE ROTATED]

Likely volers with leaners	"Surge". Modél	High Turnout	Low Turnout
Rick Secone	49%	48%	50%
Conor Lamb	46%	44%	45%
Other	1%	2%	1%
(VOL) Undecided	4%	5%	4%
i. in	:( <b>320)</b>	(379)	(320)

<sup>\*</sup> The results in this section use "surge" model weighting based on recent special election turnout patterns. Other turnout weights referenced in this release produce estimates that are no more than 2 to 3 percentage points different than the results reported here.

### [QUESTIONS 3 & 4 WERE ROTATED]

3. Is your general impression of Rick Saccone very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable, or do you have no opinion of him?

		,
		February 2018
	Very favorable	27%
	Somewhat favorable	21%
•	Somewhat unfavorable	14%
	Very unfavorable	25%
	No opinion	13%
	i (ni)	(320)

4. Is your general impression of Conor Lamb very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable, or do you have no opinion of him?

	February 2018
Very favorable	29%
Somewhat favorable	20%
Somewhat unfavorable	17%
Vory unfavorable	14%
No opinion	20%
(n)	(320)

5. How much interest do you have in the upcoming special election for U.S. Congress – a lot of interest, a little interest, or not much interest at all?

	February
<b>;</b>	2018
A tot	83%
A little	16%
Not much at all	1%
(n)	(320)

6. Have you been following the campaign for U.S. Congress very closely, somewhat closely, or not too closely?

01 1101 100 01036131	•
	February 2018
Very closely	38%
Somewhat closely	51%
Not too closely	12%
. (n)	:(320)

7. Do you approve or disapprove of the job Donald Trump is doing as president? [Do you (approve/disapprove) strongly or somewhat?]

Yambberre at a second being a	
	February 2018
Strongly approve	37%
Somewhat approve	14%
Somewhat disapprove	5%
Strongly disapprove	42%
(VOL) Dan't know	2%
. :(n)	(320)

B. On most issues would you say you support or oppose what President Trump is doing?

`.	February 2018
Support	48%
Оррова	47%
(VOL) Depends/both	. 3%
(VOL) Don't know	2%
(0)	(320)

9. How important is it for you to cast a vote for U.S. Congress that shows your [support of/opposition to] President Trump – very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not its all important?

February 2018
68%
16%
4%
7%
6%
(320)

10. As far as you know, has President Trump publicly endorsed a candidate for Congress in this race, or hasn't he done:this? [IF YES: Who?]

	February - 2018
Yes, endorsed Saccone	58%
Yos, endorsed Lamb	<1%
You but not sure who	3%
No has not endorsed	14%
(VOL) Don't know	24%
'(n)	(320)

11. President Trump has endorsed Rick Saccone. [Does/Did] hearing about this endorsement change your mind about how you will vote, or not really? [IF CHANGE: [Does /Did] it make you more likely to vote for Saccone or less likely to vote for Saccone?]

		February 2018	
Change, more likely Saccone		5%	
Change, less likely Saccone		8%	
Did not really change		86%	•
(VOL) Con't know	٠	1%	
(n)		(320)	

## [QUESTIONS 12 & 13 WERE ROTATED]

12. Is your general impression of the Republican Party very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable, or do you have no opinion?

	February 2018
Very favorable	12%
Somewhat favorable:	29%
Somewhat unfavorable	18%
Very unfavorable	34%
No opinion	6%
· (n) .	(320)

13. Is your general impression of the Democratic Party very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable, or do you have no opinion?

•	2011leatier militaanier	
		February
	l.	2018
•	Very favorable	12%
	Somowhat favorable	32%
•	Somewhat unlavorable	16%
	Very unfeverable	35%
	No apinion	6%
	(n)	(320)

14. Would you rather see the Republicans or the Democrats in control of Congress, or doesn't

this matter to	o you ?
	February 2018
Republicans	43%
Democrats	40%
Does not matter	16%
(VOL) Don't know	1%
r : : :	****

15. Have you heard that Conor Lamb said he will not support Nancy Pelosi for another term as the Democratic leader of the House if he is elected, or have you not heard about this?

	February
	2018
Heard	40%
Not heard	60%
'(m)	(320)

16. Does knowing that Conor Lamb will not support Nancy Pelosi to lead the House Democrats make you more likely or less likely to vote for him, or does it have no impact on your vote?

	February 2018
More likely	18%
Less likely	.7%
No impact	72%
(VOL) Den't know	3%
· (n)	(320)

17. How important is it for you personally to get involved in politics – very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?

	p
Ϊ	February 2018
Very important	46%
Somewhat important	37%
Not too important	9%
Not at all important	5%
(VOL) Don't know	1%
(n)	(320)

#### METHODOLOGY

The Monmouth University Poll was sponsored and conducted by the Monmouth University Polling Institute from February. 12 to 14, 2018 with a random sample of 320 likely voters in Pennsylvania's 18th Congressional District, drawn from a list of registered voters who voted in at least one of the last four general or primary elections or have registered to vote since January 2016, and indicate they are likely to vote in the upcoming special election. This includes 217 contacted by a live interviewer on a landline telephone and 103 contacted by a live interviewer on a cell phone. Monmouth is responsible for all aspects of the survey design, data weighting and analysis. Final sample is weighted for region, party primary voting history, age, gender, and race based on state voter registration list and U.S. Census information, Data collection support provided by Braun Research (field) and Aristotle (voter sample). For results based on this sample, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling has a maximum margin of plus or minus 5.5 percentage points (unadjusted for sample design). Sampling error can be larger for sub-groups (see table below). In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

DEMOGRAPHICS (weighted)
Party Registration
45% Republicen
51% Democrat
4% Neither

Self-Reported Party ID
36% Republican
29% Independent
36% Democrat

47% Male
53% Female

7% 18-34
16% 35-49
37% 50-64
40% 85+

95% White, non-Hispanic
5% Other

52% No college degree
48% 4-year college degree
48% Alegheny County
23% Washington/Greene County
30% Westmareland County

MARGIN OF ERROR		•	• • • •
		unweighted	moe
		semple	(+ <i>t</i> -)
LIKELY VOTERS		320	5.5%
SELF-REPORTED	Republican	109	9.4%
PARTY ID	Independent	89	10.4%
	Democrat	117	9.1%
IDEOLOGY	Conservative	123	8.8%
L	Moderate	123	8.8%
	Liberal	63	12.4%
GENDER	Male	162	7.7%
	Fernale	158	7.8%
AGE	18-49	82	10.8%
1	50-64	118	9.0%
	65+	115	9.1%
COLLEGE GRADUATE	No degree	134	8.5% <sup>'</sup>
ľ	4 year degree	182	7.3%
COUNTY	Allegheny	154	7.9% .
	Washington/Greene	75	11.3%
l	Westmoreland	91	10.3%

###

	TOTAL ·	TOTAL PARTY 10.				HEAL CROL	OGY	GEN	AGE SHAY		
			Rep	ind	. Desa.	Con	bolJ	725	(Jahn	Fernale	18-49-
Q1-2. CONG VOTE WITH	Rick Secone	49%	92 %	48%	.6%	87%	28%	4%	51%	47%	524
LEANERS	Contr Lamb	46%	4%,	44%	80%	8%	61%	90%	42%	48%	44%
	Other candidate	1%	1%,	3%	0%	. 2%	0%	n.	3%	0%	24.
I	Unascided	4%.	3%.	376	4%.	2%	7%	0%	4%	5%.	2%

		· AQE:3	-TANA	COLLEGE	DEGREE	'COMIT?			
		. 50-64 .	65+	No	Yes	.Alogi: e-ry	Wirsh ngun Greene	Westmaretand .	
LEAMEDS	Rick Secone	487	40%	50%	· 46%	40%	48%	63%	
	Conor Lamb	45%	50%	41%	21%	342	47%	32.7	
	Other candidate	2%	01	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	
l	<b>Undecided</b>	9%	4%.	7%	1%	4%	5%	4%	

		TOTAL	PARTY (D			POLI	LCAL DEOL	OCY ··	,GEH	AGE 3 VMY	
			Rep	Jag.	Dim	· Ges	. Hed	Ş	واوان	Formale .	10-13
Q3. is your general expression of Very favorable Rich Saccono very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat	Very favorable	2/%	12%	53.2	3%	55%	10%	5%.	30%	26%	24%
	Someoft is Lorse able	21'4	32%	22%	9%	27%	21%	5%	21%	20%	20%
untavorable, or very unlavorable,	Somewhat unimorable	14%	5%	13%	26%	3%	21%	30%	13%	15%	16%
or do you have no opinion of him?	Very serio-erable	257.	2%	27%	50%	4%	37%	51%	25%	25%	27%
	No opialon	12%	10%	19%	10%	12%	12%	10%	11%	14%	10%

	•	AGE 3	-WAY	COLLEGE	DEGREE		PA 18 CORIG VOTE w/b LEANERS		
		60 H4	65+	No	You	Altogheny	Washington Greens	Application of pinels	Sestina
O3, is your general impression of	Very Inverable	75%	.30%	32.7	21%	767.	.514	329.	58%.
Rich Caccose very lavourblo, someonis leverable, corporchas	Somewhat lavorable	25%	14%	20%	21%	15%	30%	.534°	39%
unfavorable or very untavorable.	Somewhat unfavorable	18%	17%	. 62	21%	1874.	14%	9%.	o₁.
or do you have no opinion of him?	Vory unlaworable	27%	27%	23%	28%	78%	75-4	20%	on
	No opinson	10%	18%	15%	9%	14%	6%	15%,	7%

· · ·		RA HI CONG VOT	RUM LUMIERS
l		Lemb	Other-Under
Q3. Is your general impression of	Very historetile	1%	9%
Rick Secone very leverable, somewhat favorable, comewhat	Sprowhat forgrable	5%	72%
unfavorable, or very unfavorable.	Somestal universión	30%	10%
or do you have no opinion of him?	Very unfeverable	52%	70%
	No polition	12%	475.

						· PARTY D		- POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			. GENOER	
L			R.eo	fed	Dem	Gan	Vos	re,	Male_	Temste		
Q4, is you general repression of	Very bycecise	207.	1%	10%	65%	6%	35%	64%	29%	33%		
Cond Land very transition	Someonal feets dits	, 20%,	16%	21%	2/7.	876	30%	28%	22%	192,		
unitegratio cr. sery unitero able.	Somewhat and a stable	17%	29%	22%	19,	28%	13%	1%	24%	19%		
er do you have no opicion of him?	Vary untrearable	14%	194	16%	23,	29%	4%.	2%	20%	9%		
	No ppyrign	30%	30%.	23%.	44.	25%	19%	6%	19%	20%		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			AGE DVAY			CECREG	COUNTY		
l		18 48	60 64	65+	'Ita-	Yesz	Aleghett:	Granna Granna	Wasimiaristd
* O4, is your general impression of	Vary Inversitie	28%	22%	38%	28%	32%	34%	. 27%	23%
Conc. Land very feverates.	Same ah ra la carable	174,	27%	17%	19%	23%	21%	21%	14%
sunfavorable, or very unbevorable.	Somewhat unlarmable	18%	10%	16%	17%	17%	14%	20%	10%
or do you have no opinion of him?	Very unfavorable	17%	14%	12%	16%	12%	13%	11%	19%
	No coisson	20%	20%	18%	22%	17%	15%	21%	25%

		PANEC	ONC VOTE I	6 LEANERS
		"Saccona"	. 1.00tb .	. Others Under
O4 <sub>4</sub> is your general enpression of	Very Everable -	15.	63%	. 8%
Congruent very faceration	Surrowhat Levis ald 8	875	37%	24%
unfavorable, or vary unfavorable. or do you have no opinion of him?	Somewhet unfeverable	34%	0%	12%
et en desi illes un abtereu or urus.	Very unfavorable	30%	0%	7%
	No opinion	, 28%	5%	49%

		<u> </u>									<u></u>
•		1019F -	· PARTY ID			PO.	ITAL CEDI	GCY	CENDER .		YOR-21 WA
			. Rep	ind	Dem	Con:	. Ved:	Lib	ملعكا	Fermala	18-ip
Q5. How much interest do you have in the upcoming special	Alex	83%	. 80%	80%	87%	89%	81%	634	85%	81%	80%
election for U.S. Congress - a lot	A Kile	16%,	1/%	18%	13%	16%,	19%	/m.	14%	18%	1876
of interest, a little interest, or not niveth interest at ET?	Hol much at all	17.	3%	1%	0%	4%	0%	0%	15	1%	. 1%

		AGE 3	.way	COTTER	COLLEGE DEGREE COUNTY		PA 18 CONG VOTE w/o LEANERS		
<u>.                                    </u>		- 50-64	65+	'Ho	Yes	Allegherry	Weshington Greeke	Wedmaralist.	Succese.
Q5; How much interest do you	A list	12%.	85%	1376	. 837	62.7		- 60%.	· 80%
have in the upcoming special dection for U.S. Congress - a ful	AMID	10%	14%	16%	15%	16%	15%	15%	18%
of interest, a little interest, or not .exact valorent at all?	Mot mich at all	2%	. 1%	1%	176	1%.	. 0%	4%	. 29,

i		PA 15 CONG VOTE WE LEATER					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- Other- Updes				
Q5:How much extensis do you	Atst	89%	69%				
neve in the openions special election tor U.S. Congress - a tol	A 645e	11%	20%				
of interest, a little interest, or not process interest at all?	Non much at all	. თ	3%.				

	_	IOIAL	PARTY ID		FULLI ICAL INEVIANIY.			SENDER		. AGE SYMY	
			- ⊀∞p	ted .	Dem	Con	Kod .	ها -	- Elsip	Female	10-17
Q6. Have you been following the	Very closely	39%	26%	38%	-437.	35%	34%	91%	42%	34%	38%
campaign: for U.S. Congress very closely, somewhat closely, or not	Somewhat closely	51%	52%	46%	43%	56%	5272	39%	48%	54%	47%
too riosely?	Not too closely-	12%	12%	. 16%	9% -	. 11%.	13%	10%	10%	13%	10%

		AGE 3-WAY COLLEGE DEGREE				COUNTY		PA 18 CONS YOTE WA LEANERS	
	50-84 65+			No	Yes	Allegheny	Gietre Application	Weutmore and	Saccoon
'OS. Have you been lakening the	Vary closely '	38%,	383	337.	43%	407,	41%	327	31%
compaign for U.S. Congress very closely, somewhat closely, or not	Somewhat closely	48%	54%	58%	44%	50%,	45%	56%	19%
too clasely?	Not les closely	14%	8%	11%	17%,	10%	14%	12%	103.1

		PA 18 CCHIC VOT	EAD LENIENS.
<u></u>		(amb	Cities Unage "
Q6, Have you been following the	Vary closely	46%	31%
campaign for U.S. Congress very closely, somewhat clessly, or not	Sumovipal duvely	45%	40%
mo eletely?	Not loo clossly	9%	29% -

		IOIAL		PARTY IO		POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GE):	ALE LYAY	
			Reo.	Incl	°2≥m	Can	· Wad	ها	U.de .	far.de.	:B-0G
· O7: Du yuu popriye or .	Braile white	. 3/F	-69%	20%	374 ·	89%	10%	74	44%	30%	39%
"A sapprove of the job Donald Somewhat appears	14%	19%	18%	6%	21%	13%	1%	12%	16%	18%	
[PRCBE   Dayou	PRCEE: 100 year:	5%	1%	6%	10%	n.	12%	0%	1%	3%	2%
(express disapprove) strongly or seminable?	Znough directoring	42%	7%	38%	78%	1%	55%	92%	35%	47%	43%
	- [NOL] DOM Know	, 2%,	4%	25	1%	. 9%	47.	Ο½.	. 2%	. 3%,	ON,

	·	AGE 3	WAY				COUNTY		PA 18 CONG ' VOTE w/o LEANERS
İ		50-64	65+	No	Yes	Allegheny .	Washington: Greens	Westmareland	Secre
.O7. Do you suprove ex	Changly approve	38%	32%	43%	29%	35.7	34%	· 49% -	
Charge of the sch Borula	Somewital approve	14%	13%	11%	18%	13%	17%	14%	. 19%
(ADDITION STREET, STREET, STREET, ST.	Somewhat disapprove	7%	6%	74	31/2	4%	4%	1%	1%
somer retainment anough or	Strongly disapprove	38%	48%	35%	49%	90%	41%	39%	3%
1.	[VOL] Dont Know	. 3%.	. 3%	. 3%	. 19	.1%	. 3%	79.	

		PA 18 CONUVO	E 40 LEANERS
		Larch	Gener- Uncer
Q7. Do you upprove or	Strongly approve	7%	17%
disapprove of the Job Canald Trump is doing as president?	Somewhat approve	4%	35%
(PROBE I Deaps	Somewhat disapprove	. 10%	6%
(approximal?	Strongly disepprove	25%	27% .
	(VOL) Dont Know	ο <sub>λ</sub> .	. 15%

		· TOTAL ··		PARTYED	- POLITICAL IDECTORY				GE	AGE SAIAY	
			Rep	- 144	Own	Con	Neo	ia	Made	Female	18-49
Q8. On most insues would you	Support	48%	85%	127	. 6%	85%	29%	6%	55%	42%	55%
say you support or oppose what President Trump is doing?	Оррозе	47%	6%	43%	23%	13%	64%	924	40%	53%	45%
l	[VOL] Balk .	3%	1%	3%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%	37.	0%
	[VOL] Don! Know	75.	37.	. 2%	1%	19.	4%	. 0%	2%_	. 7%	0%

		AGE 3-WAY COLLEGE DEGREE						PA 18 CONS VOTE W/o LEANERS .	
50 84			86+	No	Yen	Alecheay	Washington Grama	Westmarstand	Sections
Q8, On most inques would you	Support	· 50%	40%	49%	67%	43%	47%	56%	91%
say you support or oppose what President Triang is dolors?	Оррозв	45%	52%	45%	51%	51%	51%	31%	5%
	(VOL) Both	2%	5%	5%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%
l	. [VOL] Dant Know	. 7%.	3%	2%	2%	. 4%	0%	1%	3%

		PA 18 CONG VOTE WE LEAVENS			
		tamp	Other-Undec		
· Q8 On most issues would you	Support	4%	46%		
say you support or oppose what Provident Trump is deing?	Оррече	94%	36%		
	[VOL] Both	144.	12%		
	(VOL) Don! Knov	1%.	8% .		

		TOTAL PARTY ID			PERMICAL IDEDLOGY			GENOFH		- TALY C BOA.	
1			:Rep	(sed	, Dam	Con .	· Uas	3	Mate	Female	:0-15
G7, Itan mportant is a fer you to	Vely important	68%	713	57%	75%	73%	<b>19%</b>	81%	64%	71%	70%
tante vers for V.C. Company that	Somerchat temportunit	16%	16%	25%	12%	18%	18%.	. 9%.	15%	19%	1872
to Predawd Transp. corp.	fint too in person '	4%	4%	5%	3%	27.	7%	1%	5%	3%	22
le la lag to metagen oct ton.	Not at all important	7%	1%	12%	5%	ο%.	87.	7%	11%	-	11%
- extractory	[VOL] Don Know	. 6%	6%	5%	6%	3%.	874	2%	55.	5%	. 1%

•	-	AGE 3	WAY	COLI EGE DEGREE			PA 18 CONG' VOTE w/o LEANERS		
		50-64	65+	No	Yes	Alleghany	Vieskington Greene	- Meilwoisjäud	Secure
· Q9, How important is d for you to	Very emportant ·	-61%	72%	7374	67%	867.	/3%	86%	69%
casi a vote for U.S. Congress that shows your (suggest ollopposition	Somewhal important	22%	10%	15%	16%	15%	11%	20%	18%
in) President Trump - very Important, somewhat Important	Not too broptriant	8%	3%	7%	σ <u>γ</u> .	4%	4%	3%.	3%
not too exportant, or not at all	Not at all important	6%.	6%	37>	11%	8%	1%	5%	5%
Immediani 9	[VOL] Don Know	4%	9%		4%	7%	4%	6% <u>.</u>	69.

		P.	IR CONG VO	END TENTERS.
			Lara :	Other- Under
OB, How important is 4 for you to	Vary important		76%	32%
cost a vote for U.S. Congress that shows your (supposit of/apposition	Somewhat important	ı	11%	24%
to) Provident Trump - very	Not too important .	ı	4%	5%
proportant, somewhat expertant, , not too expendent, or not at all	Not at all important	ı	6%	. 20%
Important?	[VOL] Don! Know	ı	3%,	19%

								••		
		LIGITAL		PARTYIO		POL	TCAL IDEOL	00Y	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DER
			Rep	2	Dom;	CE7	· Moil		مادلا	: Ferta's
Q10. As the an you know, has	Yes, endersed Saccons	58%	275	15%	67%	3774	60%	63%	60%)	57%
Coopers in this rate, or havn't he	Yes, endorsed Lamb	0%.	0%	. 64	1%	04	0%	3%	. 1%.	0%
	You, but not sure who	3'4,	J%,	4'4	2%	6%	0%	572.	2¥,	4%
	Play has not entered	14%,	11%.	15'4	16%	11%	16%,	12%	137,	14%
	(VOL) Dost Know	247	23%	26'4	16%	28 %	24%	183.	23%.	75%

		. AGE S-WAY .			COLLEGE DEGREE			COUNTY	
•		10-49	50-61	œ.	No	Yes	Alleghamy -	V/A hington Greens	Westmoreland
'Q10-As the as you know, has	Yes, endorsed Seccone	60%	62%	55%	54'%,	54%	63%	5471,	54%
President Trump publicly endorsed a centralis for Compress in this recu, or name the dana cus? (IF YES, AJK; Vahe?)	Yes, endersed Lamb	0%	0%	1%	176 .	0%	್ಲ್	27.	0%
	Yes, but not cure who	2%	4%	3%	4%	374	5%	6/L·	1%.
	No. has not endorsed	13%	13%	16%	15%	13%	12%	183,	14%
	[VOL] Dent Know	25%	21%	75%	27%	20%	22%	20%.	. 30%

•		.PA 19 CC	NIC AQIE 41	o Leaviers
		Gottone	Leme	Cener- Under
Q10, As far as you know, has	Tes, endorsed Sectone	59%	65%	30%
President Trump gublichy endorsed a candidate for	Yes, endorsed Lumb	07.	1%	07.
Congress in this race, or hasnt he done this? (IF YES, ASK: Who?)	Yes, but red sure nim	37.	7%	9%
cone una ; pr + ca, nak. vmorj	fio, hee not endorsed	13%	14%	19%
	(VOL) Don't Know	26%	. 19%.	41%

									<u>.</u> .		
1		-	TOTAL PARTY ID.			POU	ICAL DEOL	OG;	GEN	DER -	
ı				Rep	isd.	Dem'	Co,	Not	re,	Late	· Female.
ı	Q11. Programt Trump has andomog Rick Sections. Dogs/Did	Change, more thely Secone	5%	9%	5%	27.	10%	1%	3.7	84.	3%
ı	hearing about this endorsement change your mind about how you	Change, less likely Saccone	8%.	5%	4%	14%	4%	12%	5%	57.	10%
	Ati vate; or not really? (IF "CHARGE," ASK I Does/Drd it make you make likely to vote for	Did not really change	85%	25%	91%	84%	85%	27%	89%	86%	26%
	Sections or less thely to vale for Section?	[VOL] Dant Knew	1%	2%	4%	0%	. 1%	. 0%	0%	0%	. 1%

		AGE T-WAY			COLLEGE	COLLEGE DEGREE		CCUMITY			
·· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		18-49	50-54	æ.	iio.	yes :	Abinition	Washington Greens	We white bland .		
,O11- President Trump has andersed Rick Sections, Dues/Old	Change, more thely Saccone	870	171	7%	976	146:	39.	104.	. 6%		
there on about this ender something of the	Change, less thely Secone	9%	7%	8%	10%	5%. ·	11%	4%	. 5%		
"CHARGE" ASK   Gent/Old   "CHARGE" ASK   Gent/Old   mate you mare Light to you for	Old not really change	83%	<b>02%</b>	83%	F2%	02%	85%	85%	24%		
Second or loss thely to vote for	(VOL) Dant Know	0%	0%	1%	0%	. 1%	. 1%	. 1%	o.,		

			-PA 10 CC	NIG VOIL W	DLEASERS.
ı			. Secono .	· Lumb :	Other-Unites.
	OTS, President Toump has andorsed Rick Sactone, Does/D-d	Change, more thely Seccone	. 9%	1%	1%
	haming about this endorsement change your mind about how you	Change, loss thely Saccone	2%	15%	3%
•	will vote or not really? (IF "Change," ASK ) Does/Did it make you more bliefy to vote for	Old not really change	99%	84%	18%
	Sections or less that to vote for Sections?	[VOL] Dord Know	1%	07.	3%

		TOTAL	OTAL PARTY 10			POLITICAL IDEDLOGY			GE1:	DER.	AGE SVA
			Rep	land .	· Cem	COS	Voc	Цъ	Mirio	Female	19-45
O12. Is your general impression	Very towerable .	12%	-20%	57.	GJF.	25%	4%	1%	17%	6%	13%
	Somewhat favorable	20%	44%	42%	572,	45%	22%	2%	27%	21%	23%
somowhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable, or do you have no	Somewhal unlavorable	18%	15%	14%	26%	17%	23%	17%	18%	19%	71%
opinion?	Very unfeverable	34%	4%	34%	65%	4%	45%	83 X	31%	37%	37%
l·	No opinion	• 6%	F3,	4%	4%.	874.	7%.	1%	7%	4%	6%

					•	·				•
i		AGE SWAY		COLLEGE DEGREE.			COUNTY		PA-18 CONG VOTE w/o LEANERS	
		50-64	65+ .	No .	Yes .	Alleghany	Washington Greens	· Anadrodinpling	Sacciónio	İ
O13 is your general impression	Vary Gvorable	10%	12%	17%	11%	12%	8.7	16%	25%	ı
of the Republican Party very Ervership, semayana lavorable,	Somewhat involable	70%	32%	34%	23%	21%	30%	40%	525.	ı
somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable or do you have no	Somewhat unfavorable	217	15%	16%	22%	18%	24%	15%	10%	
opmon?	Vary unfavorable	31%	372.	10%	40%	42%	34%	22%	0%. '	ı
	No epision	. 8%	4%	84.	4%	675	J%.	7%	5%	ı

+		PA 18 CONG VO	E en LEANERS.
		Lamb	Other - Under
Q12 is your general impression	Very feverable	0%	T'in
of the Republican Party very Liverstile, somewhat Riverstile,	Somewhat favorable	3%	39%
comewhat undeverable, or very unitworable or do you have no	James hat understratio	22%	14%
opinion?	Very unlawerable	72%	237.
	No opision	. 3%	1875

		(UTAL PARTY ID			POL	TICAL IDEOL	DGY	REMOER.		
L			∙Rsp.	and	Orm.	Cer	€ad	Lib.	Wala	Female
Q13 Is your general impression	Very teverable	12%	1%	47.	32%	44	17%	28% ¢	ED.	16%
of the Democratic Party very Servenhal fer Issues bla, servenhal fer	Sprenhel favojable	324.	9%	29%	57%	7%	48%	50%	202	23%
	Someonial union crattle	16%	201,	21%	8%	13%	22%.	874	18%	14%
epinien?	Very unimporable	35%	62%	40% .	7%	707.	12%	2%	40%	20%
l	No opinion	6%	974	· 6%	¥¥.	.7%	64	- 1% ,	374	. 6%

·····			. AGE 3 VAY			DECRÉÉ		:	
,		1849	50-64	65+	No .	Yes	Aleghory	Washington . Greene	Westmareland
· O13 is your general impression	Very Swerable	. 9%	10%	18%	15%	10%	11%	84,	18%
of the Democratic Party very (svorable, somewhat favorable.	Somewhat lavorable	. 29%	32%	34%	27%	38%	352	40%	18%
Someonas upbrosoble, or very	Somewhat unfavorable	18%	19%	14%	18%	187.	15%	16%	14%
enegon?	Very unioverable	40%	37%	274	36%	32%	30%	32%	45%
	No opinion	4%.	. 5%	74.	. 7%	. 4%.	7%	4%.	5%

•	<del></del>	PA.18 CC	NG VOIEN	<b>LEGIENS</b>
		Settone	Lemb	Cither Under
O13 Is your general impression	Very (averable	1%	27%	5%
of the Democratic Party very Exertable, somewhat lavorable	Somewhat favorable	6%	63%	13%
somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable or do you have no	Somewhat unfeverable	20%	8%	30%
opinion?	Very unlavorable	62%	1%	28%
ľ.	No opinios	6%	2%	23%

											<u></u>
		107AL	PARIY D			PER	ILICAT -DEOF	DG7	_ DB/	DEA	AGE 3 MIN
			Rep	M	Der	Can	Wod	1.0	·Ude	Frenda	1840
	Republicans	43%	84%;	30%	4%	78%	23%	4%	46%	40%	45%
coulted of could add to dotter mix	Democrats	40%	3%	38%	20%.	6%.	ых	10%	39%	40%	43%
	Opes not matter	16%	13%	27%	13%	14%	21%	5%	13%	18%	12%
	[VOL] Don Know	. 1%	0%	1%	3%	7%	2%	0%	2%.	1%	0%.

		AGE 3-WAY		COLLEGE	DEGREE		PA 18 CONG VOTE w/s LEANERS		
		50-84	50-84 85+		Yes.	Allegheny	Washington Grasse	Westmanisterna	Secrept
Q14 Would you rather see the	Republicans	44%	38%	44%	41%	35%	41%	59%	89%.
Republicans or the Devicerets in control of Congress, or cheest this	Democrats	36%	422.	36%.	45%	472	39-7	26%	1%
matter to you?	Doos not matter	18%	17%	18%	14%	15%	17%	17%	10%
L	[VOL] Dost Know		3%	2%	9%	67,	. 75	2%	1%

	PA 19 COING VOTE act LEA				
		Lamb	-Other Under		
Q14, Would you rather see the	Republicans	976	-56.2		
lepublicans or the Deroctrats in untrol of Congress; or rights this	Democrats	80%	144,		
matter to you?	Does not mailty	12%	58%		
_	[VOL] Don! Know	1%.	4%		

		TOIM :	_	STREA ID	DOSET LAST 1004. CHYS			OEA	GF24	VUE 7.WAA	
1.	_		Rep	trid	Dem	Con	Dag .	1.0	Matu	funuto :	39
Q15 Have you heard that Conor Lamb said he will not support Nervey Palosi for another form as	Heers	40%	30%	41%	50%	40%	474	42%	44%	37%	47%
the Democratic leader of the Huuse if he is elected, to have you not be end about this?	Not heard	50%	70%	363	50%	60%	58%	56%	15%	· 63%	· 59%

			AGE 3	-WAY	COTTLOC	DEGREE		COUNTY		PA 18 CONG VOTE with LEANERS
			60-64	65+	No	Yet	Allecheity	Greene Ane Aupublica	Westmoreland	Salimne
Q15 Hais you he Lamb to 3 he will Namey Polosi for a	not support	Heerd	47%	30%	36%	45%	46%	41%	39%	35%
- the Demiorratic leaders in the leader you not been about the leaders about the leaders in the	ader of the abd. or have	Not heard	53%	70%	64%	55%	80%	59 <u>y</u>	61%	65%

		PA 18 COMUNDTE WALLEAVERS					
		Lemb	· Other Undec:				
015: Mave you heard that Concu Lemb seid he will not support	Heard	50%	18%				
Planicy Pelosi for another farm as the Damparezir leader of the House if he is elegind, or have you not heard about this?	Not have d	50%,	12%				

		TOTAL	PARTY.ID .			DOCUTE VE OF OF OCA			. SEN	-ADE BYLAY:	
ł .			. Rep	ingi	Qem .	Gen	1900	tub	Hale	Female	18-60
Q16 Does Ingloop that Conor	More Virely	18%	22%	21%	11%	16%	73%	11%	23%	12%	27%
Land will not support Nancy Palost to red the House Democrats male you muse likely or love likely to robe for how or	Losstkery	7%	13%	8%	2%	15%	4%	0%	4%	11%	7%
	/2%	62%	70%	84%	46%	70%	20%	70%	73%	68%	
does it have no impact on your	(VOL) Dant Know:	3%	3%	2%	3%.	3%.	3%	0%	4%	2%	5%

			-WAY	COLLEGE	DEGREE		. COUNTY		PA 18 CONG · VOTE w/o LEANERS
		60-84	. 85+	No	Yen	Al'egh <i>eng</i>	- Woutington Greene	Westmoreland	Saires
Q16. Does lings, stylinst Conor More blady Lendt will not suggest Nancy		11%	22%	18%L	18%	1/%	17%	19%	· 15%
Pelesi to lead the House	Lass Ekely	9%.	5%	10%.	4%	872	2%	11%	12%
Comparate make you more likely or to say likely to you also for it. or No impact		79%	69%	62%	78%	73%	75%	68%	68%
does'il have no impact on your fute?	[VOL] Dont Know	136	3%	4%	1%	23	6%	. 3%.,	. 5%

		PA 18 CONG VOTE WA LEASERS		
		temb	; COor-tieder .	
OIS, Does knowing that Consr Lamb will not support Namey	More I kely	13%	52%	
Polosi to lacd the House  Osmotrats make you more likely or less likely to vote for him, or	Loss likely	29.,	10%.	
	No impact		38%.	
dose it have no empact on your wrig?	[VOL] Dant Know	2%	0%	

		IOIAL	:PARTEID		POLITICAL IDECLOGY			GENDER'		· ADE STAT	
			Rep	that	- Dem	. Coo. · ·	14 36 ·	tib	· Mate	Female	16-43
G1.7 Mear Impartant in a fee you very important open mark to got all control of packets - very important not too important and not control of montant with the control of montant with a differential very service of the differential	Very important	46%	47%	36%	34%	30%	40%	'30%	50%	43%	45%
	Somewhat imponent	37%	37%	48%	30%	37%	43%	31%	24%	. 40%	424
	trategral eastern	9%	97,	6%	11%	8%	12%	7%.	6%	12%	8%
	Not at all impercent	5%	5%	7%	4%	1 1%	472	6%	7%	4%	6%
1.	(VOL) Dont Know	1%	1%	0%	1%	. 1%	0%	1%,	2%	1%	0%

-		AGES	AGE \$-WAY COLLEGE DEGREE			PA 16 CONG VOTE w/o LEANERS			
		. 50-64	65+	No	703	APASTORY	Washington Greeke	Westmordend	Sections
C17, How important is a far you personally to gat involved in policies - very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not at all important?	Very important	49%	44%	· 49%	-44%	40%	49%	93%,	46%
	Sorrewhel important	38%.	36%	38%	39%	44%	35%	30%	37%,
	Not too important	11%	10%	6%	10%	. 1074	8%	07.	8%
	Not al all important	3%	1%	5%,	6%	5%	574	7%	7%
	[VOL] Dani Know	. 0%.	. 2%	1%	1%	2%	. 3%	6%.	7%

		1.77	"PA 18 COME TO TEMP LEATIENS		
		Ŀ	Lemb	Cities Undet	
O17 How important is it for you	Very important	Т	×06.	35%	
pen insity to get involved in politics i very emporant, provinces important, not too important, or not at all important?	Somewhat important	ľ	38%	38%	
	Not too important	1	8%	15%	
outportern, or not at an important.	Not at all important	1	27.	12%	
	(VOL) Doel Know	ı	1%,	9%	

## **Exhibit II**

# STATEMENT OF KOKA-TV NEWS DIRECTOR

## I ANNE LINABERGER hereby state:

- I am news director of KDKA-TV, Pittsburgh. In that capacity, I was the person at KDKA-TV responsible for KDKA-TV's political debate on February 19, 2018. The debate was between two candidates in a special congressional election to fill a vacancy in the 18th Congressional District. I submit this statement in connection with a complaint to the Federal Election Commission which I understand was filed, in part, against KDKA-TV, by the Libertarian National Committee regarding the decision to not invite Drew Gary Miller, the Libertarian Party's nominee, to participate in the debate.
- I understand that the complaint alleges, in part, that KDKA-TV did not have "pre-established objective criteria" for deciding who should be included in the debate.
- The criteria applied by KDKA-TV in this instance were the same as those KDKA-TV has applied in previous debates (for example, the debate KDKA-TV held on October 17, 2016 in that year's Pennsylvania Senate election) and which are those that typically go into journalistic determinations of this kind. A key criterion is the amount of public support that the candidate has attracted. In making these judgments, KDKA-TV typically considers, among other factors, the candidate's standing in recent independent polls and the extent of the candidate's public recognition, the coverage received from other news outlets, the extent of the candidate's campaign activities, and other indications of public support, such as attendance at campaign rallies. Applying these factors in this case, I determined that (i) Mr. Miller was not a serious candidate in the 18th District Congressional race at the time the February 19, 2018 debate was held and (ii) his inclusion in the debate would not have been in the best interests of our viewers.
- Monmouth University released the results of a poll it had conducted regarding the race just days prior to the February 19, 2018. The two candidates invited to the debate were supported by 49% and 46% of poll respondents while only 1% of respondents supported a third party candidate (e.g., Mr. Miller).
- While KDKA-TV's News Department regularly received press releases and other outreach from the campaigns of the two candidates who were included in the debate, the station's News Department had not received any outreach from the Miller campaign regarding either the announcement of his candidacy or subsequent campaign activities prior to his request to be included in the debate.

Based on the above factors, I do not believe that Mr. Miller could have been considered a serious candidate in the 18th District's special election at the time the debate was conducted. That is why KDKA-TV concluded that his request to participate in the debate should be denied.

ANNE LINABERGER

Sworn to before me this 36 day of July 2018

COMMONWEALTH: OF PENNSYLVANIA

NOTARIAL SEAE
Patricia O'Kelley; Notary; Public
fly of Phisburgh; Allegheny County
Commission Expires Oct. 18: 2020 ER, PERNSYLVALIA ASSOCIATION OF INSTANCE